

①

F.No.89-243/E-169483/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Hailee College of Education, Near Kurlapalli Village Gate 4-1, Kalyandurg, Kambadur Road, Ananatpur, Andhra Pradesh dated 20/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO209/B.Ed/AP/2020/115300-115306 dated 26.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Building Plan submitted by the institution is not duly approved and there is no evidence of permanent building. The institution submitted notarized copy of Building Plan in the name of Hailee Institute of Education and Training, Kambadur Road, Kurarala Palli, Near Kalyandurg approved by Sarpanch, Grama Panchayath, Badrahalli (P-907/Corrs). The size of the Multipurpose Hall not mentioned in the Building plan. The institution has not appointed the faculty of Performing Arts."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Sree Kant, Vice President, Hailee College of Education, Near Kurlapalli Village Gate 4-1, Kalyandurg, Kambadur Road, Ananatpur, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Building Plan submitted by the institution is duly approved by the Serpanch Boyalapalli Grama Panchayath Kalyandurgam Mandal 30-09-2006 under order no 5/2005-2006. Xerox copy of approval plan and order copy are enclosed and the building also completed and the Building Completion Certificate by the Assistant Engineer Roads and Buildings dated 20/08/2011 is also with submitted here. The plan clearly shows that the total built up area is 3020.05 sqm and the building consist of 23 rooms including multipurpose hall. The institution has appointed a qualified person P. Balakrishna with required qualifications as faculty of performing arts the xerox copies of certificates the staff list with photos is enclosed."

Kesap 4 Kye

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in the year 2003. Appeal Committee further noted that revised provisional recognition order dated 12/05/2015 was issued for an intake of 100 seats which was subsequently modified by a corrigendum dated 27/10/2015 and the intake was reduced to 50 seats. Appeal Committee noted that SRC after considering the reply dated 01/11/2019 to the S.C.N. dated 15/10/2019 had issued impugned order of withdrawal dated 26/02/2020 on following grounds:-

- (i) Building Plan not duly approved and there is no evidence of permanent building.
- (ii) Building Plan is in the name of Hailee Institute of Education and Training.
- (iii) Size of Multipurpose Hall is not mentioned in the Building Plan.
- (iv) Institution has not appointed faculty of Performing Art.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. programme since the year 2003. With the appeal memoranda appellant submitted:-

- (i) Blue print of approved building plan indicating the already existing built up area of 3020 sq. meters and a Multipurpose Hall of approximately 242 sq. meters.
- (ii) copy of Building Plan submitted is in the name of Hailee College of Education.
- (iii) Zerox of Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) submitted where size of Multipurpose Hall is 242 sq. meters.
- (iv) List of faculty approved by affiliating University on 23/12/2019 includes faculty for Fine Arts appointed on 01/12/2013.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, with directions to the appellant to submit originals of the relevant documents to the Regional Committee within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

Resubmit by 15/03/2020

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC, with directions to the appellant to submit originals of the relevant documents to the Regional Committee within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Hailee College of Education, Near Kurlapalli Village Gate 4-1, Kalyandurg, Kambadur Road, Ananatpur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Correspondent, Hailee College of Education, Near Kurlapalli Village Gate 4-1, Kalyandurg, Kambadur Road, Ananatpur, Andhra Pradesh – 515761.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

F.No.89-245/E-169616/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of K.D. College of Education, Dalsagar, NH-84, Buxar, Bihar dated 25/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.97/(ERCAPP1716)/B.Ed./2019/61357 dated 22.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds of non-compliance of S.C.N. issued on 18/05/2019. Appellant institution was required to submit. "Approved faculty list by the concerned affiliating body along with requisite documents. Approved building plan by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. Approved Building Completion Certificate by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. FDRs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund offer conversion into joint operation mode as prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Confirmation on website updates of the institutions with all details along with affidavit. Hence, Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under Section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Manish, Vice Chairman and Sh. Lalit Kumar, Director, K.D. College of Education, Dalsagar, NH-84, Buxar, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "College has submitted the approved faculty list. College have duly approved Building Plan. College have Building Completion Certificate. Endowments and reserve funds are submitted with concerned authority. Website is in under process. It will done soon." Institution in respect to order number 32639 dated 31/05/2015, complying the regulations as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 has all the staff duly selected and approved by the Competent Authority as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Approved building plan and the approved building completion certificate issued by the Competent Engineer/authority as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 is duly complied. Institution possesses FDRs. Institution

Resdy Skye

is maintaining website with domain www.kdeducation.org. Show Cause Notice dated 18/05/2019 was issued to the said institution to comply with the same requisites, which somehow was not replied as the Chairman of the said institution was seriously ill."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats by an order dated 03/03/2014 issued by ERC/NCTE. Subsequently a revised recognition order under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was issued on 31/05/2015 for an intake 100 seats (2 units of 50 seats each). The revised recognition order was subject to compliance of the Norms and Standards mentioned in Appendix – 4 of NCTE, Regulation, 2014. As appellant failed to submit required compliance report to ERC, two Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 20/04/2018 (Public Notice) and 18/05/2019 were issued to which the appellant did not submit any reply.

AND WHEREAS appellant with its appeal memoranda and submissions made during appeal hearing on 04/12/2020 stated that due to illness of Chairman reply to S.C.Ns could not be submitted and the institution is fully compliant of the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appellant on being asked to (i) show the list of faculty, (ii) approval letters of University issued from time to time after 2016-17 and (ii) Evidence of having credited salary into the accounts of faculty as required under Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 could not give any satisfactory reply and gave evasive replies. From the copy of the Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) it was noticed that built up area is shown spread over ground floor, first floor and second floors. From the printout of website pages, it was noticed that structure of the building consists of ground and first floor only. Visiting Team report has mentioned the built up area as 1582 sq. meters whereas Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) submitted by appellant indicates built up area of 2702 sq. meters for D.El.Ed. and 2000 sq. meters for B.Ed. programme. Anomalies in the building plan and Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) could not be explained satisfactory by appellant during the course of appeal hearing. Appellant also failed to show evidence of faculty approved after 2016 and remittance of salary into the bank accounts of faculty as required under Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014.


Resubmitted

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considering that appellant institution did not submit compliance report of its own as per Terms and Conditions of the revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 and also failed to submit replies to the two Show Cause Notice dated 20/04/2018 and 18/05/2019, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, K.D. College of Education, 32, 26, 31, Dalsagar, NH-84, Buxar, Bihar – 802116.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

(3)

F.No.89-246/E-169701/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Tagore College of Education, Kodumur, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh dated 05/11/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS08452/B.Ed/AP/2020/120007 dated 21.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has submitted a certificate as LUC in which Diverted Area is not mentioned. The institution has submitted BCC which is not in prescribed format as per NCTE. The institution has submitted a Notarized copy of Faculty List approved by Dean, College Development Council Rayalaseema University consisting of one Principal and Fifteen Lecturers submitted vide dated 09.04.2015. Some deficiencies are:- a) Principal does not have the qualification of Ph.D. in Education. b) the 9 Lecturers does not have NET/Ph.D. as per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2017 dated 29.05.2017 notified on 09.06.2017."

AND WHEREAS Sh. K.V. Subbareddy, Hon'ble President, Tagore College of Education, Kodumur, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Fresh land use certificate (LUC) in which diverted area is mentioned is enclosed to rectify the deficiency. Fresh Building Completion Certificate (BCC) which is in prescribed format is enclosed to rectify the deficiency. The faculty list was approved by the affiliating University i.e. Rayalaseema University, Kurnool as per the existing norms of NCTE and Government of A.P. on 09.04.2015. There is no point in pointing out that the affiliating University has not followed the recognition Norms & Procedure (amendments) Regulations, 2017 dated 29.05.2017 of NCTE notified on 09.06.2017, on the other hand, we cannot remove the Principal and faculty who were working for three years in this institution with approval of the affiliating University without showing proper cause. So, there is no scope for our institution to implement the staff norms of NCTE

K. S. S. S. S. S.

dated 09.06.2017. This appeal is filed on the following grounds: when the staff approval was made on 09.04.2015 how can either the affiliating University or our college can adopt the amendment of NCTE notified on 09.06.2017 the show cause notice date 01.09.2016 has pointed out only one deficiency is submission of faculty list in original duly approved by the affiliating University, and we have complied the deficiency by submitting the required document. we were made to believe that the NCTE kept silent for more than three years as we satisfied the NCTE by rectifying the deficiency fully and completely. 4. Just like a bolt from sky. The NCTE, SRC served a final show cause notice on 06.12.2019 with questions which were not there in the earlier show cause notice date 01.09.2016. 5. When the show cause notice consists of fresh points which were not asked in the earlier show cause notice, the SCN cannot be called the final show cause notice. In fact the SRC must have give us another opportunity to rectify the deficiencies which are of minute in nature. instead NCTE has decided to take severe action against our institution. Hence the action taken against our institution under section 17(4) of NCTE Act withdrawing recognition/permission which leads to loss of jobs of almost 25 young faculty is not acceptable on the grounds of humanity. Hence it is requested to review the harsh decision taken against the institution and restore recognition/permission. Thus, enabling us to save the jobs of the faculty and other staff working here during this corona pandemic."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted the Land Use Certificate and Building Completion Certificate submitted with the appeal. Regarding the faculty, the submission of the appellant is that they were approved by the affiliating University on 09/04/2015 and they are working and hence there is no point in saying that the amendments to the NCTE Regulation, 2014 made in 2017 have not been followed. The appellant also mentioned that the SRC has not given any opportunity to rectify the deficiencies and they should be given another opportunity to do the needful.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, with their letter dt. 12/09/2016 forwarded to the SRC, the original faculty list, duly approved by the Dean, College Development Council, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on 09/04/2015. In that list, the date of appointment of nine faculty members, including the Principal, have not been mentioned. On the other hand, the words 'new appointment' present position'. The appellant, in the appeal, stated that these faculty members are

Resubmitted


working for three years. Without knowing their dates of appointment the exact duration of their continuous appointment cannot be ascertained. If the faculty have been appointed after promulgation of the amendments in 2017, they should fulfil the additional requirements laid down therein.

AND WHEREAS in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appellant should furnish to the SRC, (i) the dates of appointment of the nine faculty members under consideration; (ii) a certificate to the effect that they are continuously working till date; and (iii) proof of their continuous employment in the form of bank statements showing disbursement of salaries to them, besides the Land Use Certificate and Building Completion Certificate, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal. The SRC, on receipt of these informations/documents from the appellant, should consider the matter in accordance with the NCTE Regulation, 2014, as amended in 2017 and take further action. With these observations, the Committee remands the matter to SRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Tagore College of Education, Kodumur, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Tagore College of Education, 208, Kodumur, Gudur Road, Kodumur, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh – 518464.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

(4)

F.No.89-247/E-169759/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dakshin Guwahati B.Ed. College, Fatashil Ambari, Dilip Huzuri Path, Sagunbari, Jalukbari, City Guwahati, Assam dated 08/06/2020 is against the Order No. ER-278.34/(APE00214)/B.Ed./2020/62253 dated 05.02.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution submitted a representation dated 06.08.2019 seeking extension of some more time, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulation. Total built up area mentioned in the building completion certificate is 1661.804 Sq. Mts. which is less than 2000 Sq. Mts. for running two units (100 intake) of B.Ed. course. List of teaching faculty is submitted by the institution in which 6 teaching faculty is on Part-time mode, which is not acceptable as per Appendix-4 of NCTE Regulation, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Ms. Juri Hazarika, Principal, Dakshin Guwahati B.Ed. College, Fatashil Ambari, Dilip Huzuri Path, Sagunbari, Jalukbari, City Guwahati, Assam presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "the Show cause notices given u/s 17(1) issued on 22/08/2019 by the Eastern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education, Bhubaneswar had been duly replied by the Appellant. Previously the college possessed 1661.804 Sq. Meter built up area but subsequently out of total area of 2519.84 Sq. meter of the approved plan the college could complete 2025.92 Sq. meter built up area. The built up Completion Certificate for 2025.92 Sq. meter was submitted to ERC, Bhubaneswar in reference to submission of show cause notice, but the ERC did not look into the matter for consideration. The building plan and Building Completion Certificate are enclosed. That, in regards to 6 teaching faculty on part time mode which was not acceptable as per Appendix-4 of NCTE, Regulation, 2014, the appellant submits that, in due course of time after observing all required formalities with due approval of the Gauhati University, appointment of regular faculties was made and the present position of the regular faculty of the college is 1+15 and additionally there are 3 part time faculties. So,

Res. J. Hazarika

the strength of faculty of the College is as per prescribed norms of NCTE Norms. The Approved Faculty List of the college is enclosed. In this, regards, it may be mentioned that, it took some time to get the list of faculty approved by the Gauhati University due to process of appointment and inspection and verification by the affiliating authority.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant has now submitted a faculty list of 1 + 16 duly approved by Gauhati University. The Committee, however, noted from the Building Completion Certificate submitted, that the total built up area of 2025.92 sq. meters included 535 sq. meters covered with CGI sheet. According to Clause 8 (7) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014, no temporary structure or asbestos roofing shall be allowed in the institution, even if it is in addition to the prescribed built up area. Since the institution does not have the requisite built up area in the form of permanent structure as per the provisions of NCTE Regulation, 2014, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in withdrawing recognition on the ground of inadequate built up area and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in withdrawing recognition. The appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Dakshin Guwahati B.Ed. College, Dag No. 257, Fatashil Ambari, Dilip Huzuri Path, Sagunbari, Jalukbari, City Guwahati, Assam – 781025.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.



F.No.89-248/E-169781/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Aradhana College of Education, Kismathpur, Don Basco Opp. SBI Bank, Gandipet, Rangareddy, Telangana dated 28/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00258/B.Ed/TS/2020/118866 dated 24.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not shifted its institution to own permanent building which is a violation of the NCTE Regulations 2002, 3(c). Five faculty Members (Assistant Professor) has been shown appointed after promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2017, and they do not possess NET/Ph.D. qualification as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2017. The institution has submitted notarized copy of Building Plan but multipurpose hall has not mentioned."

AND WHEREAS Academic Director, Aradhana College of Education, Kismathpur, Don Basco Opp. SBI Bank, Gandipet, Rangareddy, Telangana presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Our institution submitted its application to the SRC, for starting the B.Ed. course in the year 2002 alongwith other supporting documents, as per the NCTE Regulations. SRC, conducted expert visit and verified infrastructural & instructional facilities of our institution and issued recognition order dated 28.02.2003 for conducting B.Ed. course of one year from the academic session 2002-03 with an annual intake of 100 students. NCTE issued the new Regulations in 2014 and the institution submitted its compliance to the said new Regulations. Accordingly, the SRC issued revised recognition order dated 27.08.2015. Thereafter, our institution submitted its written representation on 20.06.2016 for shifting of premises, along with the other relevant documents, staff list, shifting fee etc. SRC considered the application of our institution in its 316th meeting held on 7-8th July, 2016 and issued intimation dated 18.07.2016

[Handwritten signature]

regarding conduct of inspection of our institution. Accordingly, the inspection of our institution was conducted on 19.08.2016 whereby we submitted the BCC issued by the competent authority, to the visiting team. However, SRC in its 321st meeting held on 28-30th September 2016 considered the inspection report and decided to issue show cause notice on the following grounds: 1. BCC not approved 2. Everything else is in order 3. Issue SCN accordingly. Accordingly, the SCN dated 22.10.2016 was issued to our institution. Thereafter, SRC in its 365th meeting held on 31st October to 1st November 2018 considered our matter and thereafter, issued SCN dated 27.11.2018 to our institution. Thereafter, the sub-committee of SRC in 380th meeting, decided to issue final show cause notice. Accordingly, the final show cause notice dated 09.10.2019 (received on 21.10.2019) was issued to our institution to comply with 13 points mentioned therein. A copy of final show cause notice dated 09.10.2019 is enclosed. The appellant institution submitted its clarification on 24.10.2019 to the final SCN issued on 09.10.2019 and informed that BCC was submitted to the Visiting Team at the time of inspection. However, the appellant institution again submitted 13 notarised documents, required by SRC as per SCN dated 09.10.2019 including the copy of BCC duly issued by competent authority. A copy of clarification dated 24.10.2019 submitted by the appellant is enclosed. SRC in 384th meeting held on 12th -13th February, 2020 considered our reply to SCN dated 09.10.2019 and thereafter, issued letter dated 26.02.2020 to the appellant institution with direction to submit staff list duly approved by the Registrar of the affiliating body as per the prescribed format. A copy of letter dated 26.02.2020 issued by the SRC is enclosed. We vide our reply dated 26.02.2020 to the letter dated 26.02.2020 of SRC, submitted the staff list of our institution which was duly approved by the Registrar of the Osmania University i.e. affiliating body of our institution. A copy of reply letter dated 26.02.2020 of our institution is enclosed. Despite submission of the requisite documents, the SRC issued withdrawal order dated 24.09.2020 withdrawing recognition of our institution on some new grounds which were not part of the show cause notices earlier issued by the SRC and for which no opportunity has been provided to our institution to ratify the same. The SRC in its withdrawal order dated 24.09.2020 has mentioned as under: "1. The institution has not shifted its institution to own permanent building which is violation of the NCTE Regulations 2002, 3(c) 2. Five faculty members (Assistant Professor) has been

Reserve

shown appointed after promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2017 and they do not possess NET/ Ph.D. qualification as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2017. The institution has submitted notarised copy of building plan but multipurpose hall has not mentioned." It is submitted that the appellant institution is hereby presenting the true copy of the faculty list as per the Regulations, 2014 as the Regulations, 2017 is not applicable to our institution as all the appointments have been made prior to Regulations 2017. Further, the notarised BCC is also hereby presented by the appellant institution. A copy of faculty list and notarised BCC is enclosed. It is submitted that the application for change of premises is pending before the SRC itself. The NCTE Regulations, 2017 are not applicable to our institution. A notarised copy of building plan mentioning the multipurpose hall is being submitted alongwith the appeal. It is submitted that withdrawal order issued by the SRC is totally devoid of merit and is not as per statutory provisions, as mandated under NCTE Act, 1993. It appears that SRC, NCTE proceeded in arbitrary manner without considering the documents properly and without following the due procedure of SOP. It is submitted that Appellant herein is producing all the documents before the Appeal Committee, which were submitted before the SRC from time to time. It is submitted that thus, withdrawal order dated 24.09.2020 of SRC is not maintainable and the appeal committee is requested to revert the decision taken by the SRC and direct the SRC to restore the recognition of our institution.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the ground of not shifting the institution to its own permanent building cannot be held against the appellant as no final decision has been taken by the SRC and conveyed to the appellant so far. SRC is required to consider the request of appellant institution for shifting separately and take a judicious decision. The appellant has submitted documents, covering the other two grounds of withdrawal, viz. a faculty list approved by the Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad, on 10/11/2020 which indicates that five lecturers appointed after 2017 are NET qualified and copy of building plan, showing a Multipurpose Hall.

AND WHEREAS in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the approved.


Res of 14/11/2020

faculty list and the building plan, to be submitted to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the approved faculty list and building plan within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the approved faculty list and the building plan, to be submitted to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the approved faculty list and building plan within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Aradhana College of Education, Kismathpur, Don Basco Opp. SBI Bank, Gandipet, Rangareddy, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Aradhana College of Education, Kismathpur, 36, 37, Don Basco Opp. SBI Bank, Gandipet, Rangareddy, Telangana – 500086.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.

(6)

F.No.89-250/E-169979/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amar College of Education, Chinabadam, Narasipuram Palasa, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh dated 13/11/2020 is against the Order No. F. SRO/NCTE/APSO7776/B.Ed./AP/120000-120006 dated 12.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the notarized certified copy of land document. 2. Original copy of staff list approved by the Dean, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Srikakulam consisting of one principal, nine assistant professors submitted but the date has not been mentioned. Further, the faculty list is suffering from the following discrepancies: - a) 5 out of 9 professors have been shown appointed after promulgation of NCTE Regulations dated 09.06.2017 and not having NET/Ph.D. as per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2017. Accordingly, they are not qualified to be appointed. b) The approval for nine faculty members has been submitted, which is not sufficient for 100 students (two basic units of B.Ed. course). Accordingly, the staff strength found not sufficient as per Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2014."


AND WHEREAS Dr. Raju Sitaraman, Amar College of Education, Chinabadam, Narasipuram Palasa, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "During the submission of reply to show cause notice, our institution has submitted the original certified copy of the land documents. Further the certified copy was also notarized. Our institution is having own land from the date of obtaining recognition from SRC, NCTE for our B.Ed. course. In spite of having submitted the certified copy of land documents, SRC has made it as a deficiency. We are submitting herewith the certified copy to the appeal committee for kind consideration. It is to submit

Kesava

that our institution is having full complement of staff. Only two staff members were not having net / set. All other staff members are fully qualified as per NCTE Regulations. Our institution was in process of obtaining fresh staff approval based on the show cause notice issued by SRC. As there was only 21 days time given for submission of reply our institution has submitted the available staff list. We have submitted the reply to SRC on 18.03.2020. As you are aware, from March 24, 2020 Nation wide lock down was imposed and University was also closed till august 2020. By the time we were in process of obtaining latest staff list approval from the University, the SRC has considered our matter on 12.10.2020 and has withdrawn recognition of our B.Ed. college. SRC has provided opportunity to several institutions and has given reminder letters based on the Covid situation. Whereas our institution was not given time or another opportunity to submit the approved staff list. Now our institution has obtained staff list duly approved by the Registrar of Dr. Ambedkar University. Our institution is having a Principal, 12 - Assistant Professors, 1 - Physical Education Faculty, 1 - Faculty in Fine Arts and 1 - Faculty in Performing Arts as per the NCTE Regulations. Under these circumstances we request the Appeal Committee to kindly grant recognition to our B.Ed. college."

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the order of withdrawal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider these documents and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC another notarised certified copy of land document and the approved staff list within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider these documents and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC another notarised certified copy of land document and the approved staff list within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.



NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Amar College of Education, Chinabadam, Narasipuram Palasa, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Amar College of Education, Chinabadam, 170/3, 149/2, 150/6, Narasipuram Palasa, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh – 532222.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-251/E-170006/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER


WHEREAS the appeal of Paulsons Teacher Training College, Pullichapallam, Paulsons Nagar, Vanur T.K., Villupuram, Tamil Nadu dated 01/11/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7547/TN/M.Ed./2020/2020/115801 dated 11.03.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the LUC is not on the letter head of issuing authority and is not in the prescribed format of State Govt. Further the LUC cannot be accepted as the Land is agricultural as per NEC. In BCC same area (3225 sq.mt) is cited as total built up area and earmarked area whereas it is running two courses. The institute submitted a copy of proceedings of Tehsildar regarding building license, but the Tehsildar is not the Competent Authority. The institution did not submit the original FDRs for B.Ed. course and M.Ed. course along with Form 'A' issued from Bank. The faculty is not sufficient for one unit of M.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations. The institute submitted a copy of letter dt. 28.06.2017 signed by the Registrar, TTEU regarding approval of faculty for M.Ed. course whereas the proforma of faculty shows the faculty for B.Ed. and M.Ed. course. The faculties namely D. Dharmaraj Pandian and Mrs. J. Mekala are not qualified as per NCTE Regulations 2014 (amended vide notification dt. 09.06.2017). The faculty for B.Ed. course is not sufficient as per NCTE Regulations. The faculties for B.Ed. courses are not qualified as per NCTE. Regulations, 2014 (amended vide notification dt. 09.06.2017). The institute did not submit the documents of society/trust etc. Details of website not submitted."

Deepa K. K.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Anant Raj, Representative, Paulsons Teacher Training College, Pullichapallam, Paulsons Nagar, Vanur T.K., Villupuram, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Management submitted all the relevant documents based on the Show Cause Notice submitted to the SRC NCTE for both B.Ed. and M.Ed. Course. In the meanwhile, we have been granted continuation recognition for two units of B.Ed. Course on 16.09.2020. All the documents except faculty members and fixed deposit receipts are all same. All the documents already submitted to the NCTE, SRC for at the time of submission of B.Ed. Show Cause Notice. In the mean while the management having all the infrastructural and instructional facilities, faculties all are available as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submission of the appellant that (i) all documents except faculty and FDRs are same for B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses; (ii) all such common documents have been submitted in reply to Show Cause Notice; (iii) recognition for B.Ed. course has been continued on 06/09/2020, and (iv) he has enclosed, with the appeal, separate faculty list for B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses duly approved by the Registrar, TNTEU, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the submission and documents to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the separate faculty list for B.Ed. and M.Ed. and details of upto date FDRs maintained for both the courses within 15 days of issue of order on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the submission and documents to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the separate faculty list for B.Ed. and M.Ed. and details of upto date FDRs maintained for both the courses within 15 days of issue of order on the appeal.



NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Paulsons Teacher Training College, Pullichapallam, Paulsons Nagar, Vanur T.K., Villupuram, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Paulsons Teacher Training College, Pullichapallam, Paulsons Nagar, Vanur T.K., Villupuram, Tamil Nadu – 605109.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

10

F.No.89-254/E-170204/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lakhimpur Post Graduate Training College, North Lakhimpur, Lak, North Lakhimpur, Assam dated 06/11/2020 is against the Order No. ER-283.23/(AS-S/E-8/96)/B.Ed./2020/63002 dated 20.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "teaching faculty mentioned at sl. No.2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 do not possess requisite percentage of marks as per Appendix-4 of Regulation 2014/Norms & Standards."

AND WHEREAS Sh. R. G. Barua, Principal, Lakhimpur Post Graduate Training College, North Lakhimpur, Lak, North Lakhimpur, Assam presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Deepshikha Borah, M.A (64.60) M.Ed. (60.60), NET has now been appointed against Sl. No. 2 following proper procedure of appointment and approval by Dibrugarh University. II. Purabi Chyengia, M.A (63.6), M.Ed. (67), NET has now been appointed against Sl. No. 3 following proper procedure of appointment and approval by Dibrugarh University. Sushanta Poria, M.Sc (65.7) M.Ed.(65.7), NET has now been appointed against Sl. No. 4 following proper procedure of appointment and approval by Dibrugarh University. Against Sl. No. 5 Mrs. Geeta Ayengia had tendered her resignation and she was released from the Institution. V. Against Sl. No. 7 Mrs. Mandira Hazarika M.A. M.Ed. M. Fine (80.25), Lecturer in Fine Arts who joined in the college on 6/12/2001 is having percentage of marks in Fine Arts as per NCTE Norms. But unfortunately, fine arts marks were left out in the Format of Particulars of Faculty Staff sent to ERC, NCTE, Bhubaneswar on 18/3/2020. In the new Particulars of Faculty Staff, Percentage of mark Mrs. Mandira Hazarika has been entered."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. programme since 1997 and a revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 was issued for an intake of 50 seats (one unit). Appellant institution with its appeal memoranda has submitted copy of a revised list of faculty duly approved by Dibrugarh University and the faculty which was reported by ERC as not qualified on account of percentage of marks is observed to have been replaced. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit original of revised faculty list approved by affiliating body to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the revised faculty list.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the revised faculty list.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lakhimpur Post Graduate Training College, North Lakhimpur, Lak, North Lakhimpur, Assam to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal/Secretary, Lakhimpur Post Graduate Training College, Ward No. 6, North Lakhimpur, Lak, Ward No. 06, 258, North Lakhimpur, Assam – 787001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.

F.No.89-256/E-170250/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sundarban Minority B.Ed. College, Amtala Bazar PO – Kumarjole, Amtala Bazar, Kumarjole, NA, Hatbhanga, North 24 Pargana, West Bengal dated 17/11/2020 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.53/ERCAPP1328/B.Ed./2019/61481 dated 02.09.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “1st show cause notice u/s 17(1) issued on 20.04.2018, followed by final show cause notice dated 16.01.2019 and the institution is still deficient on the following grounds:- Submitted approved faculty list comprising 10 instead of 16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Required faculties (1+15) qualified as per Norms need to be appointed and approved faculty list in the NCTE prescribed proforma is to be submitted. Building completion certificate is not issued by Govt. Engineer. Building plan is not submitted. Validity of photocopy FDRs of Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh expired on 12.10.2012 and also not maintained for 5 years. The institution is required to submit FDRs of Rs.5 lakh and Rs.7 lakh towards EF & RF in joint operation mode as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. No reply received and the stipulated time period has already been over. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Mohd. Shahjahan, Chairman, Sundarban Minority B.Ed. College, Amtala Bazar PO – Kumarjole, Amtala Bazar, Kumarjole, NA, Hatbhanga, North 24 Pargana, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Institute by its letter dated 14.06.2017 submitted the approved faculty list of 16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Thereafter, by another letter dated 20.02.2019, the institution again submitted approved faculty list of 16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Subsequently, the secretary

Secretary

of the institution by his letter dated 09.09.2019 again submitted the original approved faculty list of 16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution by its letters dated 20.02.2019 and 19.09.2019 submitted the building completion certificate duly approved by the competent authority in the proforma prescribed by the NCTE. The institution by its letters dated 20.02.2019 and 19.09.2019 submitted the Building Plan approved by the Government Engineer. The institute vide its letter dated 14.06.2017 submitted FDRs of Rs.5 lakhs & Rs.3 lakhs in original. Chairman of the College by its letters dated 20.02.2019 submitted the FDRs of Rs.5 lakhs & Rs.7 lakhs towards endowment fund and reserve fund in joint operation mode as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, thereafter, the Secretary of the College by his letter dated 09.09.2019 submitted original FDRs of Rs.467305.96 lakh (F.D. No. csp/d0038512) and Rs.778843.66 lakh (F.D. No.csp/d0038513) towards EF & RF in joint operation mode as per NCTE Regulation 2014. In response to the first letter dated 24.05.2017 received from Regional Director, ERC, the institution submitted its reply by letter dated 14.06.2017. Thereafter, in response to the decision taken by ERC in 265th meeting held on 8th - 9th January, 2019 (at sr. No.178), the institute again submitted its reply alongwith supporting documents by letter dated 20.02.2019. ERC took the decision of withdrawal without considering the said replies and documents attached therewith. As the institute is yet to receive the formal withdrawal order, the chairman of the institution by letter dated 09.09.2019 again submitted its reply dated 09.09.2019 alongwith all supporting documents such as (i) original approved faculty list of 16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, (ii) original building completion certificate, (iii) original building plan vetted by Government Engineer and (iv) original FDRs of rs.467305.96 lakh (F.D. No.csp/d0038512) and Rs.778843.66 lakh (F.D. No.csp/d0038513) towards EF & RF in joint operation mode as per NCTE Regulation 2014."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after noting the grounds of withdrawal mentioned in the impugned order dated 02/09/2019 and perusing the documents stated to have been submitted by appellant to ERC from time to time and available on the regulatory file, observed that appellant had in fact submitted 2 lists of faculty which contained the names of 10 + 6 faculty approved by affiliating body in June, 2017. There

Respectfully

are a number of copies of original blue print of Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate having been submitted by appellant institution from time to time. Copies of renewed FDRs are also found available on the regulatory file. Appeal Committee also noted that appellant institution has infact submitted two lists of faculty containing the names of $10 + 6 = 16$ faculty and out of these two lists one list might have missed the attention of Regional Committee. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order, copies of all the documents submitted by it before Appeal Committee. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sundarban Minority B.Ed. College, Amtala Bazar PO – Kumarjole, Amtala Bazar, Kumarjole, NA, Hatbhanga, North 24 Pargana, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Sundarban Minority B.Ed. College, Amtala Bazar PO – Kumarjole, Amtala Bazar, 1525, 1546, Kumarjole, NA, Hatbhanga, North 24 Pargana, West Bengal – 743425.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

12

F.No.89-257/E-170398/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kannur Salafi B.Ed. College, Village – Mukku, PO – Koodali, Kannur, Maniyoor, Koodali, Village – Mukku, Taliparamba, Kannur, Kerala dated 06/11/2020 is against the Order No. F. SRO/NCTE/APSO6033/B.Ed./{KL}/2020/19539 dated 16.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the institution has submitted Notarized copy of Land documents but the same are not approved by the competent authority. The institution has submitted a computerized copy of Non - Encumbrance Certificate, which is digitally signed by Raghesh Chathoth, Registering Officer, Registration Department. The institution has not submitted notarized translated version of the same. The institution has submitted an Original staff list approved by the Kannur University consisting of one Principal & Eight Assistant Professor (One basic unit) vide dated Nil, Some deficiencies observed in staff list are:- Percentage of marks in PG degree 2 Lecturers is less than 55%. The details of the staff are as follows: - I. Mrs. Aiswarya Lakshmi M” (Malayalam) II. Mrs. Soorya K” (English). One Assistant Professor does not possess NET qualification as per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) (Amendment) Regulation, 2017 date 29.05.2017 notified on 09.06.2017. Faculty in r/o Fine Arts & performing Arts not appointment.”

AND WHEREAS Ms. R. Vijayan, Vice Principal, Kannur Salafi B.Ed. College, Village – Mukku, PO – Koodali, Kannur, Maniyoor, Koodali, Village – Mukku, Taliparamba, Kannur, Kerala presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that “Our title deed of property is executed in Malayalam. Since it is in Malayalam we translated it into English by a Notary Advocate who is competent to do so. Non - Encumbrance Certificate digitally signed by Raghesh Chathoth, Registering Officer is not translated and notarized

since it is bilingual (version of Malayalam and English). Now we are submitting English version of Non-Encumbrance Certificate digitally signed by Nusrath Beebi.T, Registering Officer, Registration Department. In the case of Aiswarya Lakshmi (Malayalam) she began her career w.e.f 13-04-2006 in Calicut University Centre of Teacher Education and she continued her service in various Teacher Education institutions under both Calicut and Kannur University up to 28-11-2014 with short breaks. From the same year, she joined our college and got approved by the University. We could not notice the amendment that came into force regarding the qualifications of Teacher Educators i.e. in the percentage of marks. Mrs. Soorya.K" (English) was appointed on 01-01-2015 under the above-mentioned circumstances, her appointment was also approved by the University (She belongs to OBC). New appointments of faculties are made to replace the faculties in Malayalam & English. One Assistant Professor who does not possess NET qualification joined our college on 26.09.2018, with a previous experience in another Teacher Education institution w.e.f 01-06-2017. His appointment was also approved by the University.. New appointment of faculty is made to replace the faculty in Education. A teacher in Fine Arts was in position while one year B.Ed. programme had been conducted. With the introduction of two - year B.Ed. programme, unknowingly the post of Fine Arts Teacher came to an end. In order to make good of a teacher in Fine Arts a weeklong series of special workshops is being conducted every year in our college and other Teacher Education colleges like ours. After the observation made by NCTE, a qualified candidate has been appointed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme in December, 2007 with an intake of 100 seats. Appeal Committee noted that revised recognition order issued to appellant institution under NCTE Regulation, 2014 for 100 seats (2 units of 50 each) was subsequently amended on 12/09/2017 and intake was reduced to 50 seats (one unit).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that two Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 04/10/2019 and 21/01/2020 were issued to appellant institution which were replied to by the appellant institution on 25/10/2019 and 07/02/2020 respectively.

Kesav I Hope

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of (i) land documents (copy of original in Malayalam and English Translation), (ii) C.L.U., (iii) Non Encumbrance Certificate and (iv) revised list of faculty approved by affiliating University for the year 2020-21. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC, within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order, copies of documents submitted by it with its appeal memoranda. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter, after the appellant institution submits to it (i) certified copy of land document with English translation, (ii) list of faculty approved by affiliating University for the year 2020-21, (iii) C.L.U., (iv) Non Encumbrance Certificate (English).

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kannur Salafi B.Ed. College, Village – Mukku, PO – Koodali, Kannur, Maniyoor, Koodali, Village – Mukku, Taliparamba, Kannur, Kerala to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Kannur Salafi B.Ed. College, Village – Mukku, PO – Koodali, Kannur, Maniyoor, 17/1177, 16/1130, Koodali, Village – Mukku, Taliparamba, Kannur, Kerala – 670592.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

13

F.No.89-258/E-170368/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Manuu College of Teacher Education, Asansol, Danish, School Lane, Hutton Road, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Burdwan, West Bengal dated 17/11/2020 is against the Order No. ER-284.19/(Code-Nil)/B.Ed./2020/63124 dated 05.10.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "list of teaching faculty member (1+13) which is less against the requirement of (1+15) for offering two units of B.Ed. Course as per appendix-4 of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Validity of fire safety certificate has been expired on 04.01.2020."

AND WHEREAS Sh. M. A. Sikandar, Deputy Dean, Planning, Manuu College of Teacher Education, Asansol, Danish, School Lane, Hutton Road, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Burdwan, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "MANUU College of Teacher Education (CTE) at Asansol (West Bengal) is a constituent unit of Maulana Azad National Urdu University which is a Central University with its headquarter at Hyderabad. It is fully funded by Ministry of Education (MOE) (formerly the Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD) - University Grants Commission (UGC) and the said College is functioning under the direct control of the University. University has appointed the required number of faculty members as per NCTE Regulation for offering two units of B.Ed. course vide Appendix-4 of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Fire Safety Certificate has been obtained from office of the Fire Divisional Officer Paschim Bardhaman Govt. of West Bengal issued vide memo no: IND/WB/FES/20182019/38829 dated 10-11-2020 for a period of three years."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is a constituent unit of Maulana Azad National Urdu University (Mannu) funded by Ministry of Education and U.G.C. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 04/12/2020 submitted (i) list of faculty containing the names of Principal and 15 faculty members duly approved by Registrar, of affiliating body, (ii) Fire Safety Certificate dated 10/11/2020.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order the documents which it had submitted to Appellate Authority on 04/12/2020. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Manuu College of Teacher Education, Asansol, Danish, School Lane, Hutton Road, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Burdwan, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Manuu College of Teacher Education, Asansol, Danish, 549, School Lane, Hutton Road, Asansol Municipal Corporation, Burdwan, West Bengal – 713301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-259/E-170399/2020 Appeal/31st Mtg.-2020/4th December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 24/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Ramakrishna Sarada Ashrama Teachers Training College, Hazaribagh, Rabindrapath, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand dated 18/11/2020 is against the Order No. ER-284.14/ERCAPP48/B.Ed./2020/63082 dated 29.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Sampati Paul possesses 52% marks in Master Degree, which is not permissible as per Regulation, 2014. Soma Sarkar possesses 50% marks in Master Degree, which is not permissible as per Regulation, 2014. There is mismatch between Building Plan (BP) and Building Completion Certificate (BCC). However, latest Building Plan (BP) is not submitted. Original FDRs Rs.5 lakh and Rs. 7 lakh is not submitted. Certified copy of registered land document is not submitted. Validity of Fire Safety Certificate has been expired on 18.11.2019. Hence, B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the next academic session 2021-22."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kumar Pal, Assistant Secretary, Shri Ramakrishna Sarada Ashrama Teachers Training College, Hazaribagh, Rabindrapath, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand presented online the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Sri Samapti Paul was appointed in accordance to the provisions of the Regulations, 2009 wherein 50 Marks were permissible. However, Sri Paul has also completed his Masters Degree in English in the year 2016 and obtained 66.6 marks. Copy of the same is enclosed. It is submitted that Soma Sarkar has also completed her Masters Degree in History in 2016 and she obtained 64.9. the copy of the same is enclosed. It is submitted that due to the error on behalf of the college staff wrong building plan was submitted hence there was a mismatch with the

building completion certificate. It is submitted that the institution has a huge campus and by mistake the wrong building plan was submitted. The same has been rectified now and the copy of the correct building plan is submitted. It is most respectfully submitted that the institution had submitted the photocopies of the FDRs with the office ERC and was not aware of original being submitted. The originals are now submitted. Certified copies of the land documents are also being submitted. It is most respectfully submitted that we had applied for the Fire Safety Certificate in time but due to the changes in the Jharkhand State Govt., as per new directives, the application was to be submitted online which was compiled and the new Fire Safety Certificate has been obtained and is submitted for perusal and consideration."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant with regard to the percentage of marks of Sh. Sampati Paul and Ms. Soma Sarkar. Appeal Committee noted that above faculty have attained required percentage of marks and moreover Sh. Sampati Paul was appointed in the year 2011.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in the year 2011 and with its appeal memoranda has submitted (i) certified copy of land documents, (ii) Building Plans and (iii) Fire Safety Certificate issued online on 21/09/2020, (iv) FDRs and (v) Building Completion Certificate. Appeal Committee decided that appellant is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, originals of the (i) certified copy of land documents, (ii) FDRs and copies of Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate and Fire Safety Certificate. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

*Respectfully
Signed
[Signature]*

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Ramakrishna Sarada Ashrama Teachers Training College, Hazaribagh, Rabindrapath, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Shri Ramakrishna Sarada Ashrama Teachers Training College, 1666, 1671, 1670, Hazaribagh, 1666, Rabindrapath, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand – 825301.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.